N.C.P.I.--Crim. 208.10 Page 1

ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON WITH INTENT TO KILL INFLICTING SERIOUS INJURY. FELONY. G.S. 14-32(a).

The defendant has been charged with assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill inflicting serious injury.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove four things beyond a reasonable doubt:

<u>First</u>, that the defendant assaulted the victim by intentionally (and without justification or excuse) (describe assault).

Second, that the defendant used a deadly weapon. A deadly weapon is a weapon which is likely to cause death or serious bodily injury. [(Name object) is a deadly weapon]. [In determining whether (name object) was a deadly weapon, you should consider the nature of (name object), the manner in which it was used, and the size and strength of the defendant as compared to the victim.]

Third, the State must prove that the defendant had the specific intent to kill the victim.

And Fourth, that the defendant inflicted serious injury.4

If a definition of intent is required, see N.C.P.I.--Crim. 120.10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The parenthetical phrase should be used only where there is evidence of justification or excuse, such as self-defense.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Use appropriate bracketed statement. In the event that there is a dispute as to which weapon was used and one of the weapons is non-deadly as a matter of law, e.g., a real pistol and a toy pistol, state what would not be a deadly weapon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Serious injury may be defined as "such physical injury as causes great pain and suffering." See S. v. Jones, 258 N.C. 89 (1962), or S. v. Ferguson, 261, N.C. 558 (1964). If there is evidence as to injuries which could not conceivably be considered anything but serious, the trial judge may instruct the jury as follows: "(Describe injury) would be a serious injury." S. v. Davis, 33 N.C. App. 262 (1977).

N.C.P.I.--Crim. 208.10 Page 2--Final Page

ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON WITH INTENT TO KILL INFLICTING SERIOUS INJURY. FELONY. G.S. 14-32(a). (Continued.)

NOTE WELL: If self-defense is an issue, use N.C.P.I.-Crim. 308.45.

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date, the defendant intentionally (describe assault) the victim with a (name object) (and that (name weapon) was a deadly weapon)<sup>5</sup> and that the defendant intended to kill the victim and did seriously injure him, (nothing else appearing) it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.<sup>6</sup>

NOTE WELL: If self-defense is an issue, use N.C.P.I.-Crim. 308.45.7

 $<sup>^5\</sup>mathrm{This}$  parenthetical phrase should be used only where the weapon is not deadly per se.

 $<sup>^6</sup> If$  there is to be instruction on lesser included offenses, the last phrase should be: ". . . you will not return a verdict of guilty of assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill inflicting serious injury." See State v. Hannah, \_\_ N.C. App. \_\_ , \_\_ S.E.2d \_\_ (16 April 2002) (holding that assault inflicting serious bodily injury pursuant to G.S. 14-32.4 is not a lesser-included offense of assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill inflicting serious injury).

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$ Including self-defense in the mandate is required by <u>S. v. Woodsen</u>, 31 N.C. App. 400 (1976) *Cf.* S. v. Dooley, 285 N.C. 158 (1974).